A rationale approach for drug targeting with special reference to Leishmania cytosolic SIR2

Supported by the Indo-French Cooperation [Indo-French Centre for the promotio of advanced research (IFCPAR/CEFIPRA)], INSERM, IRD, the Portuguese FCT and the Treaty of Windsor Anglo-Portuguese Joint Research Programme for funding.







Institut national de la santé et de la recherche médicale





Joana Tavares



Anabela Cordeiro Da Silva



Ali Ouaissi



**Baptiste Vergnes** 



Denis Sereno



Nuno Santarém



**Ricardo Silvestre** 



Nilanjan Roy

National Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research, Nagar, Punjab, India



Dr. Paul Kong Thoo-Lin

The Robert Gordon University, Aberdeen, UK

## Leishmaniasis



12 millions infected individuals350 millions at risk

•Annual incidence: 2 millions

### Leishmania life cycle







## **VL treatment**



## Neglected diseases: drug discovery

Combination of commercially available drugs
Discovery of new applications for existent drugs
Discovery of new molecules

Screening of compounds libraryTarget based drug discovery

Identification of *Leishmania major* gene encoding a protein belonging to the silent information regulatory proteins (SIR2) family

Screening of *Leishmania major* cDNA library LmSIR2 : sharing 40% sequence identity to the yeast ySIR2

#### (Yahiaoui *et al.*, *Gene*, 1996) (Zemzoumi *et al.*, *Bio. Cell*, 1998)

- ✓ Identification of SIR2 homologues in other *Leishmania* species (*L. amazonensis, L. infantum, L. amazonensis*)
- ✓ Cytoplasmic localization



Promastigotes L. major



Amastigotes L. major

## SIR2 family

#### Humans

SIRT1 nuclear
SIRT2 cytoplasmic
SIRT3 mitochondrial
SIRT4 mitochondrial
SIRT5 mitochondrial
SIRT6 nuclear
SIRT7 nuclear

#### Protozoa

*Leishmania:* SIR2RP1 (cytoplasmic) - SIR2RP2 and RP3 (mitochondria)

*T. brucei:* SIR2RP1- SIR2RP3 nuclear

Plasmodium: PfSIR2 nuclear



Subcellular localization	on		
Nucleus	Cubatrata		
Cytosol	Substrate		
Mitochondria	H3 and H4		
	Tubulin		
	MyoD		
	p53		

### **SIR2 enzymatic properties**



Adapted from North BJ, 2004



Adapted from Kowiesky TM, 2008

# Overexpression of *LmSIR2* gene and parasite phenotypic characterization

• pTEX plasmid: regulatory 5' and 3'UTR of T. *cruzi* glyceraldehyde phosphate dehydrogenis encoding gene (*GAPDH*) carrying *LmSIR2 gene* 



Parasites + mAb anti-LmSIR2 + FITC-labeled rabbit Ig antimouse IgG







WT L. infantum

promastigotes pSIR2



pSIR2 intracellular amastigotes (THP-1)

#### 6 times increase of LmSIR2 protein synthesis

#### NAD+-dependant deacetylase activity in pSIR2 extracts

•[<sup>3</sup>H]-labeled histone peptide incubated with parasite extracts with or without NAD



#### Growth of axenic amastigotes which overexpress LmSIR2

#### Normal culture conditions (MAA20 : pH 5, 37°C)



Overexpression of LmSIR2 increased survival of stationary phase axenic amastigotes

Vergnes et al., Gene, 2002, 296: 139-150

# L. infantum (LiSIR2) gene sequencing and functional characterization

Methodology :

- Screening of a genomic *L. infantum* (CLhyg) library using LmSIR2 labeled cDNA as a probe

-Isolation of a genomic Hind III fragment of 5.9kb and sequencing :

« LiSIR2 » (373aa) : <u>93% identity to LmSIR2</u>

- Transfection of WT L. infantum promastigotes with either the empty cosmid (CLhyg) or the cosmid carrying the *LiSIR2* gene (CLSIR2)

Under normal culture conditions (MAA20 : pH 5, 37°C)



## **Reverse genetic approaches**



- -Defined DNA construct carrying drug resistance gene (selection)
- -Transfection
- -Homologous recombination
- -Mutant parasites
- -Phenotype studies

## LiSIR2 gene inactivation by homologous recombination « Knock out »

Leishmania : diploïd, mitosis : 2 steps for inactivation of both alleles

#### Methodology : gene disruption

- *Hind* III genomic fragment of 5.9 kb carrying the *LiSIR2* gene
- Y-NEO and Y-HYG integration into the LiSIR2 catalytic domain (Cla I)
- Plasmid DNA construct was digested using Hind III for transfection purposes
- Drug selection and cloning of parasites





- Production of single mutant parasites LiSIR2+/-

- No possibility to get viable double mutants LiSIR2-/- (neo/hyg or hyg/neo)

#### Inactivation of both LiSIR2 alleles required episomal rescue



LiSIR2 : essential for parasite survival

#### Vergnes et al., 2005, 363: 85-96

#### Growth phenotype of LiSIR2+/- in vitro



Inactivation of one *LiSIR2* allele alters the *in vitro* growth capacity of axenic amastigotes

#### **Target identification and validation**



#### Parasite growth inhibitory activity of sirtinol

**sirtinol** : « SIR Two Inhibitor NaphtOL » (Grozinger et al., *J Biol Chem*, 2001) (inhibition of ySIR2 and SIRT2 *in vitro*)



Sirtinol inhibited the *in vitro* proliferation of amastigotes in a dosedependant manner

## Leishmanicidal activity of Sirtinol toward amastigotes

Activity of sirtinol on amastigote cell cycle after 4 days of culture

Percent cells at sub G0/G1 after 4 days of culture in the presence of 60µM sirtinol



Sirtinol induced the appearance of a sub G0/G1 pic which revealed the presence of DNA fragmentation, reminiscent of the apoptotic phenotype.

A certain degree of protection against apoptosis (30%) could be seen in the case of amastigotes which overexpress LiSIR2

## Growth phenotype of LiSIR2+/- in vitro

Cell cycle analysis at 4 days of



Effect of sirtinol on the growth of LiSIR2+/- clones

The mutants are blocked at G0/G1 of the cell cycle

Inhibition of the remaining LiSIR2 protein by sirtinol was detrimental for the growth of single mutant amastigotes

Vergnes et al., Acta Trop., 2005, 94, 107-115



Nicotinamide a physiological inhibitor of SIR2 enzyme, at 5 mM concentration almost completely blocked the NAD-dependant deacetylase activity present in the total extracts from parasites which overexpress LmSIR2

#### Sereno et al., Antimicrob. Agents Chemother., 2005, 49, 808-812

Structure Function Analysis of Leishmania Sirtuin



Figure 2: LmSir2 model. (A) MEPS surface showing docking of nicotinamide. (B) Interaction of nicotinamide with active side residues.



Figure 1: Flow diagram of screening protocol used in the study.

National Cancer Institute database

### National Cancer Institute

#### **Compound library screening : Computational analysis**



*L. infantum* amastigotes expressing luciferase incubated with different compounds The viability was evaluated by the luciferase assay



		FlexX So	core		
Mol ID	Structure	LmSir2	hSir2	IC <sub>50</sub> (mM)	
1		-23.7	-15.1	0.49 ± 0.009	
	Mart,	NH,			
42	$\overline{\mathbf{Q}}$	-16.1	-16.9	0.28 ± 0.036	
56	N H	р тын, 	-9.6	1.49 ± 0.021	
75	Jose N	-4.2	-8.6	0.82 ± 0.079	
NCA	N	-10.9	-11.0	5.5 ± 0.05	
	l				

Table 1: Docking scores of and amastigote growth inhibition by the four active compounds and NCA



Figure 5: Compound 56 selectively docked in Leishmania sirtuin (A) as compared to human sirtuin (B).

Kadam et al., Chem Biol Drug Des. 2008, 71: 501-6.

#### LiSIR2RP1 is a NAD+ dependent deacetylase



Class III NAD+ dependent deacetylase

LiSIR2RP1 is inhibited by nicotinamide

### LiSIR2RP1 expresses ADP-ribosyltransferase activity

ADP-ribosyltransferase activity



**Autoradiography** 

Coomassie

## LiSIR2RP1 substrate identification







LiSIR2RP1 is partially associated with microtubules

#### LiSIR2RP1 deacetylates α-tubulin



Tavares et al., Biochem. J., 2008, 415: 377-386



bisnaphthalimidopropyl (BNIP)



Tavares et al., Int. J. Parasitol. 2005, 35: 637-46

## Search for target specific inhibitors



➤The presence of a common structural moiety (naphthalene) in some molecules identified as Sirtuin inhibitors led us to re-evaluate the activity of bisnaphthalimidopropyl (BNIP) derivatives toward the enzyme activity and the parasite growth



## L. infantum SIR2RP1 (LiSIR2RP1) and human SIRT1 (hSIRT1) inhibitory activity of BNIP derivatives

Compo	Compound Name Structure		$IC_{50} \pm SD (\mu M)$		Selectivity index	
			LiSIR2RP1	hSIRT1	(IC <sub>50</sub> LiSIR2RP1/hSIRT1)	
1	BNIPDabut		35.0 ± 5.8	73.1 ± 14.9	2.1*	
2	BNIPDapen		37.7 ± 6.9	$82.2 \pm 16.4$	2.2 *	
3	BNIPDahex		43.3 ± 9.5	93.5 ± 7.8	2.2**	
4	BNIPDahep	<u> </u>	$52.7 \pm 5.2$	$127.5 \pm 31.9$	2.4*	
5	BNIPDaoct		9.2 ± 1.4	$116.5 \pm 23.3$	12.7**	
6	BNIPDanon		$5.7 \pm 0.2$	$97.4 \pm 4.9$	17.0****	
7	BNIPDadec		11.2 ± 1.6	$113.8 \pm 22.7$	10.2**	
8	BNIPDadodeo		10. 1± 1.2	94.7 ± 23.7	9.4**	
9	BNIPSpd	\	17.9 ± 1.6	94.8 ± 23.7	5.3**	
10	BNIPSpm	ᢓᢏᢥ᠆᠆ᡎ᠆᠆ᡎ᠁ᡰ	39.5 ± 6.5	$102.2 \pm 31.9$	2.6**	
11	BNIPDpta	ᢓᢏᢤ᠆᠃ᡁ᠁ᡁᠴᡙ᠁ᡀᢓ	$32.8 \pm 2.4$	43.1 ± 9.3	1.3	
12	BNIPDeta	<b>\</b>	54.7 ± 15.7	182.8 ± 22.2	3.3**	

IC50 ± SD data are reported as the mean of at least three independent experiments. \*, p < 0.05; \*\*, p < 0.01; and \*\*\*, p < 0.001 between the LiSIR2RP1 and the hSIRT1

The most active and selective inhibitor (parasite versus human enzyme) was BNIPDanon. Based on kinetic studies, its inhibitory activity is due to competition with NAD<sup>+</sup>

#### Docking results of poly amines



A and C human hSIRT1, B and D LiSIR2RP1; A and B cavity depth, C and D electrostatic potential map of the protein molecule, NAD is yellow and other molecule is BNIPDanon.

BNIPDanon has six hydrogen bonding aas present in LiSIR2RP1 NAD binding cavity and docking score is -17.244. BNIPDanon shows five hydrogen bonding with aas present in hSIRT1 NAD binding cavity and docking score is -15.889. Other three molecules Dadodec, Dadec and Dadex are also docking in the same place with same pose in both the protein which basically validate the docking positions.

#### Tavares et al., ChemMedChem., 2010, 5: 140-7

#### Effect of BNIP derivatives on the intracellular development of L. infantum amastigotes

	Compound	Intracellular Amastigotes
1	BNIPDabut	4.53±0.54
2	BNIPDapen	1.26±0.18
3	BNIPDahex	3.46±0.48
4	BNIPDahep	1.12±0.0084
5	BNIPDaoct	2.43±0.19
6	BNIPDanon	6.03±0.67
7	BNIPDadec	1.02±0.41
8	BNIPDadodec	1.01±0.39
9	BNIPDpta	4.22±1.07
10	BNIPDeta	9.52±0.56

PMA differentiated THP-1 cells infected with amastigotes were incubated with a serial range of each drug concentrations during three days. The growth inhibitory effect of the drugs was determined by the luciferase assay and the  $IC_{50}$  calculated by linear regression analysis. Each experiment was performed in triplicate and the  $IC_{50}$  values represented correspond to the mean value obtained for at least three independent experiments.



1x10 <sup>8</sup> L. infantum promastigotes IP







							V/17	
Number Administrations	Treatment	White blood cell count (10 <sup>3</sup> /mm <sup>3</sup> )	Red blood cell count (10 <sup>6</sup> /mm <sup>3</sup> )	Hemoglobin concentration (g/dl)	Hematocrit,	Lymphocyte count (10²/mm³)	Monocyte count (10³/mm³)	Granulocyte count (10 <sup>3</sup> /mm <sup>3</sup> )
6	PBS-DMSO	4.37±0.76	8.60±0.45	14.2±0.7	42.4±1.6	3.23±0.57	0.70±0.20	0.43±0.15
	Amphotericin B	7.40±0.01*	9.10±0.032	14.5±0.7	45.6±0.2*	5.35±0.07*	1.30±0.0*	0.75±0.07
	BNIPDaoct	5.8±0.03*	8.32±0.35	13.8±0.5	41.3±2.1	4.30±0.26*	1.03±0.15	0.47±0.15
9	PBS-DMSO	3.20±0.57	8.42±0.70	13.7±1.3	41.9±4.7	2.25±0.49	0.50±0.14	0.45±0.070
	Amphotericin B	4.10±0.28	8.96±0.28	14.1±0.0	45.0±1.8	2.90±0.56	0.65±0.21	0.55±0.07
	BNIPDaoct	2.57±0.38	7.36±0.98	11.6 <b>±</b> 1.7	36.3±5.3	2.00±0.20	0.30±0.17	0.27±0.058
12	DMSO	4.38±1.30	9.46±0.42	14.5±0.7	46.9±2.2	3.33±0.49	0.60±0.18	0. <b>4</b> 5±0.060
	Amphotericin B	3.33±0.29	9.11 ±0.69	14.7±0.8	45.5±3.4	2.37±0.15	0.43±0.06	0.53±0.15
	BNIPDaoct	2.40±0.84	8.50±0.23	13.6±0.2	42.1±1.6*	1.55±0.49	0.50±0.28	0.35±0.071
15	DMSO	3.60±0.28	8.87±0.17	14.3±0.2	45.0±0.1	2.25±0.21	0.90±0.06	0.5±0.0
	Amphotericin B	6.03±1.09	9.25±0.26	15.0±0.3	48.0±1.5	4.17±0.60*	1.30±0.38	0.77±0.25
	BNIPDaoct	2.10±0.78	7.92±1.37	12.6±1.4	40.7±7.7	1.37±0.31*	0.40±0.30	0.33±0.23

Table III. Hematologic changes after 6, 9, 12 or 15 days of consecutive administrations of 1mg/kg of each drug to L. infantum infected BALB/c mice.

Values represent the mean ± standard deviation of the means of 3 to 4 mice per group. \*, p<0.05; \*\*\*, p<0.001 between the drug treated group and the control group.

#### Tavares et al., unpublished

## Summary

We believe that the investigations of parasite metabolic pathways may uncover

new distinctive features and functional differences in target gene products that will be exploited for the design of selective means to interfere with their biological properties

## Thank you